

**SAFER YORK/DAAT PARTNERSHIP BOARD**  
**Monday 7 April 2014, 10.00am**  
**Green Room, West Offices**

**PRESENT**

Supt Phil Cain (PC), (Chair)  
Jane Mowat (JM), Head of Community Safety, CYC  
Cllr Linsay Cunningham-Cross (LCC), CYC  
Leigh Bell (LB), DAAT, CYC  
Cllr Ian Gillies (IG), CYC  
Ian Cunningham (IC), CYC  
Angela Crossland (AC), CYC (for Jon Stonehouse)  
Liz Butcher (LBU), Public Health England  
Sandra Chatters (SC), York and North Yorkshire Probation  
Dave Dryburgh (DD), North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue  
Cath Loseby (CL), Notetaker, CYC  
Annie Tysall (AT), Apprentice PA, CYC

|            |  |  |
|------------|--|--|
| <b>1.</b>  | <b>APOLOGIES</b>   |  |
| 1.1        | Steve Waddington (SW) CYC<br>Paul Edmondson-Jones, CYC<br>Jon Stonehouse, CYC<br>Pam Tinker, CYC<br>Pat Armstrong, Jobcentreplus<br>Martin Hawkins, CYC  |  |
| <b>2.</b>  | <b>MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING (3<sup>RD</sup> FEBRUARY 2014)</b>  |  |
| 2.1        | The minutes of the last meeting, 3 <sup>rd</sup> February 2014, were accepted as a true and accurate record.   |  |
| <b>3.</b>  | <b>MATTERS ARISING</b>   |  |
| <b>3.1</b> | <b>3.1 Community Safety Restructure</b>  |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JM gave an update in relation to the Community Safety restructure. She advised the review was completely on track. Job descriptions were in the process of being revised – been out for consultation with comments that have been returned.</li> <li>Meeting taking place on 14<sup>th</sup> April to present the new structure so on track to complete by mid Summer.</li> </ul> |  |

|            |  |               |
|------------|--|---------------|
| <b>3.2</b> | <b>5. SYP Performance Report</b>   |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IC advised he had provided some more information which would be discussed within the meeting.</li> <li>• JM advised the acquisitive crime had been picked up within TL's task group.</li> </ul>   |               |
| <b>3.3</b> | <b>7. DAAT PH Substance Misuse Profile</b>   |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Club drugs – JM and PC to discuss further outside the meeting.</li> </ul>   | <b>JM/PC</b>  |
| <b>3.4</b> | <b>8.3 Door Staff</b>  |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Door staff – moves going on about educating door staff throughout the city. CIZ went through Licensing.</li> </ul>  |               |
| <b>3.5</b> | <b>8.4 PCC Funding</b>   |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding from the PCC –. No information available at the moment in relation to drug intervention programme money.</li> </ul>   |               |
| <b>3.6</b> | <b>8.5 National Regulatory Capital Fund</b>  |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A grant application for £500k was submitted successfully (Changing Lives). Changing Lives put the application in which we expected. Now have to put in an agreement for them to say what they were going to do. Will take 12/18 months to set up and get up and running.</li> <li>• Aims, objectives and outcomes of that programme can be shared with the Board. It is not something they will commission, it is in addition to what they have already commissioned.</li> <li>• LBU said because it is city centre based, there will be opportunities to grow out into the community. LCC said aim to link in from the beginning. Next meeting will be a full project plan. To be on the agenda for the next meeting.</li> </ul> | <b>LBU/PT</b> |
| <b>3.7</b> | <b>8.6 Restructure of integrated Youth Support Services</b>  |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback about Youth Support Services – due to split from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2014. The YOT Manager (AC) will undertake management of the personal support and inclusion service working with young people at risk of poor outcomes. Connexions – and Youth Community development work will be with Mary Bailey's team in CANS. Troubled families is managed through the intervention unit. Now that structure has moved around the troubled families team were stripped into a specific team and we will work alongside them much closer.</li> </ul>   |               |
| <b>4.</b>  | <b>SYP PERFORMANCE REPORT</b>  |               |
| <b>4.1</b> | <b>Introduction and Overview</b>   |               |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IC advised the end data had only just been received, hence the lateness of the report.. All crime figures will be 5/6% down on crime which equates to about 600 crimes. As part of the last strategy there were about 16 targets across crime groups/other parts of the council and all targets have been hit apart from two. The two missed were shoplifting (5%) and robbery of business property. Good success. York against its peer group is about 10<sup>th</sup> out of 15. IC explained that to move to the next position in the most similar grouping would require a significant reduction.</li> </ul>  |               |

|            |  |  |
|------------|--|--|
| <b>4.2</b> | <b>Violent Crime</b>   |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Just less than 2000 violent crimes, down about 15% so good progress. Reduction the same across the city so same within the CIZ and suburbs. CIZ went live last week and also ARZ in the city walls and the train station became live last week. Collected good feedback.</li> <li>• Community ambulance unit due to start in town running on a Friday and Saturday night from St. Helen's Square. Has not gone live because of a problem with the vehicle but street angels out and saw 20 people so very positive.</li> <li>• Ambulance is not a rest and recovery centre. Very expensive for them to work. Work doing here links in well with the work being done in Newcastle around training of door staff, street angels, community ambulance.</li> </ul>  |  |
| <b>4.3</b> | <b>Domestic Violence</b>   |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not much change – around 30000 incidents a year. Seeing more repeat incidents which suggests less first time incidents.</li> <li>• Domestic Abuse forum events took place a few days ago. Police have completed their data quality audit and implemented some changes. An Education day is planned on 12<sup>th</sup> May.</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>4.3</b> | <b>Anti-Social Behaviour</b>   |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decrease in criminal acts – 11% on last year and seen a decrease of just under 10% of police calls for service – eg personal. The council has a few issues with the LAGAN system.</li> <li>• Cars, graffiti, dog fouling, litter – likely to see less calls about things like this. Seeing a reduction in things like ASB within existing ARZ zones, less cases of crimes of harassment.</li> <li>• Hub coming together for 5/5/14. Police Innovation Fund (PIF) bid was written. PC said that needs to be tweaked and take the 3<sup>rd</sup> year off. Ties in with allocation bids. 14/15, 15/16 – will go in for two years.</li> <li>• Horse Bailiff active and assessed a number of horses last week. Lot of departments preparing for the new ASB legislation that is coming through.</li> <li>• Neighbourhood enforcement officers – IG asked about their powers – PC said looked at 11 powers going into their new job descriptions. Mainly focused around things that are community based, eg. drug offences, cycling on the pavement, dog fouling. If we are successful with the development and innovation fund and PIF we should have sufficient staff recruited to work alongside the enforcement teams which will strengthen the services. PCSO's powers are not being reviewed as would require a legislative change.</li> </ul> |  |
| <b>4.4</b> | <b>Acquisitive Crime</b>   |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continues to slowly reduce. Alleygating – had a meeting with the officers in charge to keep that programme moving. Cycle theft will be up 279 crimes this</li> </ul>  |  |

|            |   |           |
|------------|---|-----------|
|            | <p>year. Insecure bikes in people's gardens. Had a number of meetings and feel actions used to tackle these are still the right actions. Have Operation Spoke and Apollo to mark bikes.</p>   |           |
| <b>4.5</b> | <b>Hate Crime</b>   |           |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Had just less than 100 incidents compared to around 100 the year before and 100 the year before that so stable. Benchmarking against local authorities to do with the authority's peer review – very difficult to set around 7 out of 15.</li> <li>• Patterns – very few repeats and majority are connected around the late night economy. IG asked if IC could find out what his areas were – more specifics – IC to provide more details. Hate crime around other places in the city – 6/7 sites in the Ministry of Defence and no cases over the last financial year.</li> <li>• LCJB – indicating all of their 10m hubs are performing well.</li> </ul>  | <b>IC</b> |
| <b>4.6</b> | <b>Shoplifting</b>  |           |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seen a rise – 1575 cases a year which is around 35/40%. Happen around the city centre. 220 crimes from March this year.</li> <li>• New organisation York Business Against Crime (YBAC) has been set up which will replace Retailers Against Crime in York (RACY) so the profile has been raised. Goes live today (7<sup>th</sup> April 2014). Links up with shops with an IT system. Based on the new funding streams and different information – the report will change around a bit for next time in light of focussing on strategy.</li> <li>• PC – if we kept the same performance targets for crime as last year, 32 crimes a day for the whole city. If we achieve that in April we will be 150 crimes over since last April so reflects the balances, particularly in Q1. Been very successful as a Partnership. Achievement should be recognised. Need to look at how to sustain that level of performance when finance and resources have been drawn back. We need to look at how to get the communities involved.</li> <li>• The shoplifting and cycle crime and night time economy – we will have a dedicated inhouse team supported by 15 Specials looking at retail, cycle crime within the city walls.</li> <li>• Retail crime, shoplifting is up – the links to that being food items should not be under-estimated.</li> <li>• IG – no cold calling zones – people do not take any notice of them and when pointed out there is no threat of any action taken against them if they just carry on. Should we be looking at that?</li> <li>• Neighbourhood Watch Schemes – is it time to look at care rather than these schemes? PC said he meets with the Neighbourhood Watch Committee each month and they are currently setting up a pilot scheme in Acomb – they plan to bring together as a collaboration. NYP are also investing in the ring master system.</li> </ul> |           |

|    |   |                                    |
|----|---|------------------------------------|
| 5. | <b>SYP FINANCIAL STATEMENT</b>  |                                    |
|    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Financial Statement was noted. Everything on track to spend. Need to look at the PCC's funding proposals and feed back. A meeting was held last week and JM went through the report. JM had concerns that the report required details of projected spending over an 18 month period. She was concerned that the form was asking the two CSPs to identify what they intend to spend funding on in detail and to predict spend against a reactive element of funding. Currently we track the funding against the SYP delivery groups to give us flexibility..</li> <li>Table 4.4 shows how the funding is split. £17k is being held back for payment by results. Based on crime levels in the first quarter of 2014, we would struggle to achieve that if the performance measure is crime reduction. 10% is being retained as a reactive spend but we have to tell the PCC in advance what we want to spend on.</li> <li>PC asked at what level? JM said high level detail. JM said we need to be able to utilise that funding in accordance with the National Intelligence Model process where particular issues are highlighted as a priority this view needs to be fed back to the OPCC. The deadline for consultation on this paper is 16<sup>th</sup> April 2014 so JM requested feed back by email as soon as possible.</li> <li>LB suggested returning the form challenging what they are requesting/the definitions. PC stated it should come as a Board collective. PC asked that each look at and feed back to Jane.</li> <li>AC said the timeline for commenting on moJ YOT funding has been moved to 25<sup>th</sup> April 2014. Need more clarity. Also linking into the performance report – how do we do the payment by results – how much work is going to be invested – where is the value for money? Also the guidance suggests payment by results will be factored by how it was done last year. Feels like a performance criteria we are trying to get away from. How we improve is how we should be going – how is it all tied together to deliver sustainable solutions. Also need to be more innovative around how they are looking at payment by results. Do not have an issue if the pot is a size that makes it worthwhile.</li> <li>JM to draft something up and circulate around and ask SW to submit as the Chair of the Board.</li> </ul> | <p><b>ALL</b></p> <p><b>JM</b></p> |
| 6. | <b>DAAT UPDATE</b>  |                                    |
|    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No formal performance report was available. Aim for putting the report out again in August which will give another full section of data to work on.</li> <li>Talked about Changing Lives getting a bid to do a piece of work on a dry bank/emergency hub.</li> <li>Done some work on licensing around how Public Health work with licensing in</li> </ul>  |                                    |

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
|            | <p>CYC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Doing some work with SYP around night time alcohol in the city and working with A and E to do a report around drunk banks. Lot of emphasis on these rest and recovery centres. May be doing some joint working to look at alcohol with SEG.</li> <li>• Alcohol awareness week happening in November, lots of preparation starting to happen. Got some space in West Offices and looking at what we were going to do with CYC staff, but already been done in the Health and Wellbeing strategy but does not mean we will not do something.</li> <li>• Looking at the food and drink festival around setting up a pop up dry bar offering free non alcoholic drinks. Drinks industry wanting to promote non alcohol but it gives us the opportunity to highlight the drinking culture. Cost involved so need to consider if it could be funded jointly by the Board – around £10k – would have to be a Cabinet decision.</li> <li>• Alcohol pilot being commissioned through some old money from the PCC – secured a person to deliver this for a two year pilot.</li> <li>• Looking to do an alcohol awareness course similar to the speeding course – this could include some information in relation to river safety. The police will decide who will attend the course. Three categories – already known with significant issues, someone who needs more group work and alcohol awareness course. PC suggested this be tied in to river safety, eg assessing personal risk.</li> <li>• LBU asked LB for some details to be sent to her.</li> </ul> |           |
| <b>7.</b>  | <b>AOB</b>   | <b>LB</b> |
| <b>7.1</b> | <b>Safer Community Board</b>   |           |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IG – Safer Community Board at the LGA – concentrating on two things – legal highs which is becoming a problem so the Department of Health and the Home Office coming to speak to the Board..</li> </ul>   |           |
| <b>7.2</b> | <b>Gambling</b>  |           |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IG - Sat on a gambling commission from the LGA with the Head of Gambling at DCMS: planning issue eg, betting shops opening next door to each other and the fixed betting terminals. There is a query about where people are sourcing the money to bet. Lot of crime going on to access these.</li> </ul>  |           |
| <b>7.3</b> | <b>Peer Review</b>   |           |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AC advised the Youth Offending Team had a peer review in January. Raised questions around community safety and youth justice and how to be stronger in that area.</li> </ul>  |           |

|            |  |  |
|------------|--|--|
| <b>7.4</b> | <b>Crime Summit</b>  |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JM advised the Crime Summit had been well attended and a lot of positive feedback. No attendance from Health at the Crime Summit or any representatives from Health at the last two Board meetings. SW will need to pick up as a lot of concentration around the night time economy and late night agenda so need that strategic support.</li> <li>• In the process of looking at material that came out of the workshops. This will feed into a York Domestic Abuse Strategy as well as a new three year Community Safety Plan.</li> <li>• SW to link across with PEJ to get better representation for Health.</li> <li>• Early intervention and awareness and focussed on individuals LCC said came across.</li> </ul>  | <p><b>SW</b></p> <p><b>JM</b></p> <p><b>SW</b></p> |
| <b>7.5</b> | <b>River Safety Summit</b>   |  |
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PC – as a result of the two deaths of Megan Roberts and Ben Clarkson the summit was convened by the PCC. It was very well attended from different groups, eg university, door staff, pub watch, river boat charity, members of the press, PCC. Lots of debates ranging from prevention levels, education awareness, issues around alcohol. PCC is very keen to see the vulnerability awareness training delivered to door staff and night time economy workers and came out as a strong theme was education and raising awareness as opposed to the physical preventative measures.</li> <li>• Discussion around short films/target audience eg. students. Five incidents since the river summit. Four which have been rescued. Majority are alcohol related. Range between suicide and where alcohol was a factor. Vast majority are males and that they have died due to alcohol. Seven went into the Foss and not the Ouse.</li> <li>• Need to reply back to the PCC in relation to the video. JM to pull together the long term plan and SW to go back saying he had discussed at the Board meeting – this is our long term plan and we say how this video fits in but would like to be involved as a Board. This is supported by the Partnerships within the Board and the Local Authority.</li> </ul> | <p><b>JM / SW</b></p>                              |
| <b>8.</b>  | <b>DATE OF NEXT MEETING</b>  |  |
|            | Next meeting will take place on 2 <sup>nd</sup> June, the Green Room, Level 2, West Offices, 9.30am.   |  |